Structurally Characterized Organometallic Hydroxo Complexes of the f- and d-Block Metals

John W. Gilje^{*,†} and Herbert W. Roesky^{*,‡}

Chemistry Department, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, and Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Göttingen. *37077 Oiittlnpn, Germany*

Received November 22, 1993 (Revised Manuscript Received March 3, 1994)

Contents

I. Introduction

Organotransition metal hydroxides have been known for a long time, and there has been interest in them for many years, primarily because of their role in catalysis.' However, this class of compounds has taken on increased importance with the growth of activity in materials-related chemistry. **As** organometallic precursors are now being used for the synthesis of oxide materials by sol-gel and related hydrothermal syntheses, an understanding organometallic hydroxides, which occur as intermediates or themselves function as precursors, becomes important. The primary purpose of this review is to summarize the structures found in the organometallic hydroxide complexes of the d- and f-block elements. While preparative methods will be mentioned, many of the complexes have formed adventitiously and there are gaps in the chemical understanding. Nonetheless, common structural features occur throughout the periodic chart and we hope this review will aid the development of both the pure and applied chemistry of this series of compounds.

We are unaware of another review of this area. However, terminal hydroxide complexes of the late transition metals and organometallic oxo-hydroxo compounds112 are covered in reviews largely devoted to other topics.

^Z*I. Prologue*

A Lewis dot formula shows three electron pairs residing on oxygen in the hydroxide ion. **As** might be expected from this representation, the hydroxo ligand is capable of a variety of coordination modes utilizing one or several electron pairs. Thus, even the terminal M-OH linkages are complicated by possible contribution from three resonance forms:

$$
M\text{---}OH \leftrightarrow M\text{---}OH \leftrightarrow M\text{---}OH
$$

In fact, M-OH units often possess short metal-oxygen distances which sometimes are interpreted in terms of metal-oxygen multiple bonding.

While terminal hydroxo coordination is found in complexes of metals throughout the transition series, doubly and triply bridging geometries are more common. While there is no clear separation between the various metals, doubly bridging complexes are encountered relatively most frequently with the early transition and the f-block metals while the triple bridge is somewhat more common with the later metals. There are a variety of different structures for each type of hydroxide coordination, but among the triply bridging complexes "cubanes" are the most frequently encountered type and are common from group 6 to 12.

In many cases the coordinated OH group is involved in hydrogen bonding. This can occur both in an intraand/or intercomplex fashion or to solvents of crystallization. When no other sites are accessible, very weakly basic molecules, with which the hydroxo complex has co-crystallized, may be involved. Undoubtably, the tendency of these compounds to hydrogen bond may influence their chemistry. However, this has not yet been investigated. The compounds mentioned in this review are listed in Table 1 along with the metal-oxygen bond lengths.

I I I. Scandium, Yttrium, Lanthanides, and Actinides

Hydrolysis is a common mode of decomposition for the organometallic complexes of the electropositive metals, and all of the known group 3, lanthanide, and actinide organometallic hydroxo complexes have been prepared by this route.

The most common structural type of the organohydroxo complexes of the group 3 and lanthanide metals is the double-bridged $[(Cp)_2M]_2(\mu_2-OH)_2$, where Cp may be C_5H_5 or a derivative. If the two Cp and two OH ligands are each considered to occupy a single coordination site, the metals are distorted tetrahedral and the Cp (centroid)₂M planes are approximately perpendicular to the nearly planar $M_2(O)_2$ rings.

 $[(C_5H_5)_2Y]_2(\mu_2-OH)_2$ was isolated from a mixture of $(C_5H_5)_2Y(Bu^t)(thf)$ and Ph-C=C-Ph, in which it presumably formed by hydrolysis and from which it co-crystallizes with PhC=CPh.³ $[(C_5H_5)_2Y]_2(\mu_2-OH)_2$

⁺**University of Hawaii.** [‡] Universität Göttingen.

John W. Gilie grew up in St. Paul, MN, and in 1961 received B. Chem. degree from the University of Minnesota. He went on for his Ph.D. in 1965, working with Robert W. Parry on boron hydride chemistry. In 1965 he joined the faculty of the University of Hawall where he is now professor of chemistry. He has held visiting positions at the University of Texas, Austin, (1972/1973), Imperial College of Science and Technology, London, (1979/1980), Technical University at Braunschweig, Universityat Braunschweig. Germany. **(198011981).** andGiiningen University. Germany **(1990).** He received an Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellowship **(1980)** and was awarded a Distinguished Senior Scientist Award from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation **(1990).** Currently he is serving as a Program Officer in Inorganic Chemistry at the National Science Foundation. His research interests include the moiecular chemistry of **elec**tropositive metals and phosphorus chemistry.

Herbert W. Rcesky was born in **1935** in Laukischken. **He studied** chemistry at the university of Göttingen, Germany, where he obtained his Diplom in **1961** and his Ph.D. in **1963.** After one year of post- doctoral work at DuPont in Wilmington. **DE,** he made hls habilitation at Giiningen University. **In 1971** he became full professor in Frankfurt and since **1980** he has been a full professor and director of the Institute of Inorganic Chemistry at Göttingen University.
During this time he has also been a visiting professor at Tokyo During this time he has also been **e** vislting professor at Tokyo **Instiiute** of Technology and at Kyoto University in Japan. **He Is** member of the Academy of Sciences in Göttingen and of the Academy of Scientists "Leopoldina" in Halle. He **has** received many awards, e.g. the D.rer.nat.h.c. of Bielefeld University. Alfred-Stock-Memorial Award, and French Alexander-von-Humboldt award. More than **550** research publications. articles. patents and books document his activity.

consists of two $(C_5H_5)_2$ ^Y units bridged by OH groups and with Y-0 distances of 233(2) and 236(2) pm. The PhC=CPh molecules are located between layers of $[(C_5H_5)_2Y]_2(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_2$ molecules, which are oriented so that each O-H is directed toward the C \equiv C bond of an alkyne; the oxygen and carbon separations range between 344 and 355 pm, and **a** hydrogen binding interaction is proposed.3 Subsequent attempts to obtain $[(C_5H_5)_2Y]_2(\mu_2-OH)_2$ by hydrolysis of $(C_5H_5)_2$ - YR ($R = C_5H_5$, CH_3 , or H) gave mixtures of products, as did the reaction of $(C_5H_5)_3Y$ (thf) with a suspension of NaOH in thf. When $(NPCl₂)_n$ was added to scavange $C_5H_5^-$ a compound formulated as $(C_5H_5)_2Y(OH)(thf)$ was isolated, but no structure was determined.3

With the lanthanides, $[\{[C_5H_3(SiMe_3)_2]\}_2Sm]_2(\mu_2$ -OH)₂ and $\{[C_5H_4(SiMe_3)]_2Yb\}_2(\mu_2-OH)_2$ are obtained by careful, oxidative hydrolysis:⁴

$$
2{[C_5H_{5-n}(SiMe_3)_n]}_2Ln(L) + 2H_2O \rightarrow
$$

\n
$$
[{[C_5H_{5-n}(SiMe_n)_2]}_2Ln]_2(\mu_2-OH)_2 + 2L + H_2
$$

\n
$$
Ln = Sm, n = 2, L = thf; Ln = Yb, n = 1, L = Et_2O
$$

and
$$
\left[\left\{[C_5H_4(Bu^t)]\right\}_2 \text{Ln}\right]_2 (\mu_2 \text{-OH})_2 \text{ by}^5
$$

\nLn[C₅H₄(Bu^t)]₃ + 2H₂O →
\n
$$
\left\{ [C_5H_4(\text{Bu}^t)]_2 \text{Ln}\right\}_2 (\mu_2 \text{-OH})_2 + 2C_5H_5(\text{Bu}^t)
$$

\nLn = Nd or Dy

In each of these reactions dilute solutions of water in an ether are used for the hydrolysis. The mean metaloxygen distances in these compounds are $Sm-O = 240,4$ $Yb-O = 229⁴$ Nd-O = 232.9,⁵ and Dy-O = 225.7 pm.⁵

Another Y and an analogous Lu complex, ${[O(CH_{2}^{-})]}$ $CH_2C_5H_4$)₂] $Ln_2(\mu_2-Me_2C_3H-N_2)(\mu_2-OH)$ (Ln = Y, Lu), form by

The M-OH distances are 220.2(2) and 215.4(3) pm for the Y and Lu complex, respectively. 6

The generation and subsequent reactions of hydrogen halide may complicate hydrolysis reactions of organometallic halides. A novel solution to this problem has been the use of $Ph₂SNH·H₂O$ as a reagent to provide stoichiometric amounts of water and to absorb HC1 **as** it is formed. $(C_5Me_5)_2UCl_2(NHSPh_2)$, a product from the reaction between $(C_5Me_5)_2UCl_2$ and $HNSPh_2$, reacts with $Ph₂SNH·H₂O$ in toluene:

 $(C_5Me_5)_2U(OH)(NHSPh_2)(Cl)$ has a typical bent metallocene structure; the U-0 bond distance, 210(2) pm, is quite short.⁷ (C₅Me₅)₂U(OH)(NHSPh₂)(Cl) decomposes slowly in the solid state or within a few days in solution

Organometallic Hydroxo Complexes
$2(C_5Me_5)_2 U(OH)(NHSPh_2)(Cl) \rightarrow$
$(C_5Me_5)_2 UCl_2(NHSPh_2) + 2(C_5Me_5H) +$
$UO_2(NHSPh_2)^*$

or reacts further with $Ph₂SNH·H₂O$ to form a tetrauranium-oxo-hydroxo cluster:'

The U-0 bond distances range from 196 to 236 pm and the OH groups are assigned on the basis of symmetry and bond distances. The $U_4O_4(OH)_2$ core is a small segment of a common type encountered in uraniumoxide and uranium-oxy-halide chemistry. **As** the complete hydrolysis of $(C_5Me_5)_2UCl_2$ would undoubtedly yield an oxide or oxy chloride, $(C_5Me_5)_2$ - $U(OH)(NHSPh₂)(Cl)$ and $(C_5Me₅)₂U₄O₄(OH)₂Cl₄$ bondin $(NHSPh₂)₆$ can be viewed as intermediates in the conversion of an organometallic precursor to an oxide.

I V. Titanium, Zirconium, and Hafnium

A. Titanium(I I I)

The reaction of the titanocene $[(C_5H_5)Ti(\mu_2-H)]_2[\mu (C_5H_4)_2$ with water in thf gives $[(C_5H_5)Ti(\mu_2-OH)]_2$ - $[\mu$ -C₅H₄)₂]:⁸

$$
[(C_5H_5)Ti(\mu_2-H)]_2[\mu-(C_5H_4)_2] + 2H_2O \rightarrow
$$

[(C_5H_5)Ti(\mu_2-OH)]_2[\mu-(C_5H_4)_2] + 2H_2

The crystal structure shows discrete molecules of $[(C_5H_5)Ti(\mu_2-OH)]_2[\mu-(C_5H_4)_2]$, with one OH in each molecule hydrogen bonded to a thf of solvation.

B. Titanium(IV)

Several Ti(IV) complexes containing a terminal hydroxo ligand have been characterized by X-ray diffraction. $[(C_5Me_5)_2Ti(OH)(HNCPh_2)]BPh_4·Et_2O$, prepared by the reaction of $(C_5Me_5)_2$ TiN=CPh₂ with AgBPh₄ in the presence of traces of moisture,⁹ shows pseudotetrahedral titanium coordination with a short Ti-OH distance, **185.3(7) pm,** which indicates substantial Ti-0 double-bond character.

The reaction of $(C_5Me_5)_2$ TiMe with AgBPh₄ in thf gives the sterically congested $[(C_5Me_5)_2]\text{TiMe}(\text{thf})$]-BPh₄. The thf is easily displaced by donor molecules¹⁰ and in the presence of even traces of water $(C_5Me_5)_2$ - $Ti(OH)(H₂O)]BPh₄·2thf$ forms. The Ti-OH bond length, **185.3(5)** pm, is identical to that in the previous cation.

The reaction of $(C_5Me_5)_2TiCl_2$ with AgCF₃SO₃ in moist thf produces $[(C_5Me_5)_2Ti(H_2O)_2][CF_3SO_3]_2$.¹¹ From aqueous solutions of this compound the monohydrate $[(C_5Me_5)_2Ti(OH)(H_2O)]CF_3SO_3·H_2O$ and dihydrate $[(C_5Me_5)_2Ti(OH)(H_2O)]CF_3SO_3.2H_2O$ have been isolated. All three compounds were characterized by X-ray diffraction and the Ti-OH distances are all nearly the same, 187-pm mean value for the three compounds.

The first titanium hydroxide containing an 0-H-0 bond was prepared according to the following equations:12

 $[(C_5Me_5)Ti(OH)OSi(Bu^t)_2O]_2$ is a yellow crystalline solid showing characteristic OH absorptions in the IR. A side-on view of the structure shows an eightmembered ring in a boat form with one OH hydrogen bonding through a transannular bridge to the other, which is not involved in hydrogen bonding:

The hydrogen bridge increases the coordination number of $O(1)$ and results in a long $Ti(1)-O(1)$ bond, $187.2(2)$ pm. Normally the elimination of a water molecule would be expected, but in this case steric factors presumably prevent further reactions of the OH groups.

On hydrolysis of $(C_5H_5)_2TiCl_2$ in water at pH $>$ 5 one cyclopentadienyl group is removed. Reaction of the product with formic acid gives the ionic trinuclear complex $[Ti_3(\mu_3\text{-}O)(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_3(\mu_2\text{-}HCOO)_3(C_5H_5)_3]$ ⁺-[HC00]-.2HCOOH whose structure was determined by X -ray: 13

The complex cation is oxygen centered, with Ti-0-Ti

Table 1. The Structurally Characterized Organo-Hydroxo Complexes of the d- and f-Block Metals

Table 1 (Continued)

Table 1 (Continued)

angles around the central oxygen [106.9(2) to 107.6(2)'] being close tetrahedral. Each pair of Ti atoms is bridged by a formato and an hydroxo ligand.

C. Zlrconlum

We are not aware of any hydroxide complexes of zirconium or hafnium in oxidation states other than **IV.**

A number of hydroxide complexes of zirconium have been obtained from $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$. With nitric acid in $CHCl₃$ a dinuclear doubly OH-bridged complex $[(C₅H₅)$ - $Zr(NO_3)_2(\mu_2-OH)$ ₂.2thf is formed. The Zr atom has pentagonal-bipyramidal geometry with a C_5H_5 and an OH group occupying the axial positions and the equatorial plane containing two chelating $NO₃$ ligands and one OH group:14

The reaction of $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ with CF_3COOH in a two-phase $CHCl₃/H₂O$ system yields a dinuclear complex, $[(C_5H_5)_2Zr(OCOCF_3)(\mu_2-OH)]_2$, in which the 18electron Zr atoms are five-coordinate.¹⁵ Salts of $[(C_5H_5)_2Zr_2(\mu_2-OH)_2(H_2O)_6]^{4+}$, a dihydroxy-bridged cation, have been obtained in the reaction of $(C_5H_5)_2$ - $ZrCl₂$ with AgCF₃SO₃ or AgClO₄ followed by addition of water. X-ray structures of $[(C_5H_5)_2Zr_2(\mu_2-OH)_2$ -

 $(H_2O)_6$] (CF₃SO₃)₄.4thf and $[(C_5H_5)_2Zr_2(\mu_2-OH)_2(H_2O)_6]$ - $(CIO₄)₄$.8thf show that the Zr atoms have a distorted octahedral coordination geometry with a C_5H_5 group being trans to OH.¹⁶ Reaction of $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ with an

aqueous sodium benzoate solution yields the trinuclear complex $[Zr_3(\mu_3-O)(\mu_2-OH)_3(\mu_2-C_6H_5COO)_3(C_5H_5)_3]$ ⁺- $[C_6\overline{H}_5COO]$ ⁻ $\cdot O(C_2H_5)_2$. The complex cation is oxygen centered, and the Zr-0-Zr angles [107.3(1) to $108.9(2)$ ^o] at the central oxygen are close to the tetrahedral angle. Each pair of Zr atoms is bridged by a benzoato and a hydroxo ligand. The coordination octahedron around each Zr atom is completed by a C_5H_5 $\text{group}:^{17}$

 $(C_5Me_5)_2Zr(OH)Cl$ and $(C_5Me_5)_2Zr(OH)_2$ were synthesized in high yield via treatment of $(C_5Me_5)2T$ -(Buⁿ)Cl and $(C_5Me_5)_2ZrMe_2$ in hexane solution, respectively, with 1 and 2 equiv of water. Confirmation of the two compounds as discrete, monomeric hydroxo compounds was provided by X-ray diffraction.¹⁸

Another structurally characterized complex containing a terminal Zr-OH is a **hydroxo-N,N'-hydrazinoate** produced by treatment of $(\dot{C}_5Me_5)_2ZrMe(OH)$ with bis- $(p$ -tolyl)diazomethane:¹⁹

Base hydrolysis of $(C_5Me_5)ZrCl_3$ in thf yields $[(C_5 Me_5$)ZrCl[]]₃(μ_3 -O)(μ_3 -OH)(μ_2 -OH)₃-2thf. This cluster contains a nearly equilateral triangle of three Zr atoms capped above and below by μ_3 -O and μ_3 -OH groups and symmetrically edge bridged by three μ_2 -OH groups which are coplanar with the three Zr atoms. The octahedral coordination sphere about Zr is completed by a terminal Cl and a C_5Me_5 . Two chloride ligands and one C_5Me_5 group lie on one side of the $(-ZrO-)$ ₃ ring while the remaining Cl and the two C_5Me_5 groups are on the other, which produces a molecule with near C_5 -*m* symmetry.^{20,21}

The hydrolysis of $(C_5Me_5)ZrCl_3$ with LiOH-H₂O in thf gives $[(C_5Me_5)ZrCl_2(H_2O)(\mu_2-OH)]_2$. This complex is also formed by hydrolysis of zirconium alkyls.

$$
2(C_5Me_5)ZrCl_2R + 4H_2O \rightarrow
$$

\n
$$
[(C_5Me_5)ZrCl_2(H_2O)(\mu_2\text{-}OH)]_2 + 2RH
$$

\n
$$
R = CH_2C_6H_5, \text{SiMe}_3
$$

The dimer is centrosymmetric.²² $[(C_5H_5)_2Zr(NC-Pr^n) (\mu_2\text{-}OH)]_2(BPh_4)_2$ contains a similar $\text{Zr}_2(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_2$ unit.²³

D. Hafnium

organohafnium hydroxo complexes. We are not aware of any structural characterized

V. Vanadium, Niobium, and Tantalum

A majority of the structurally characterized hydroxo organometallic compounds of the group *5* metals are those of niobium. None have been reported for vanadium.

A. Niobium

The first structurally characterized niobium complex is the trinuclear^{24,25} [(\dot{C}_5H_5)NbOOCH]₃(μ_2 -OH)₂(μ_3 -O)- $(\mu_2$ -O) which was prepared by heating $(C_5H_5)Nb(CO)_{3-}$ $PPh₃$ with anhydrous HCOOH in xylene:

In this $d¹$ niobium compound the metal atoms are located at the vertices of an almost regular triangle with short Nb-Nb distances (314 pm, average).

 $[(C_5H_4Me)Nb(CO)_2Cl_2]_2$ undergoes decarbonylation²⁶ in refluxing thf to give a dark paramagnetic intermediate which reacts with atmospheric oxygen to produce another Nb(IV) trinuclear cluster $[(\tilde{C}_5H_4Me)NbCl]_{3}$ - $(\mu_2\text{-}Cl)_3(\mu_3\text{-}OH)(\mu_3\text{-}O)$. Within the triangular array there is one short, 282.7(1) pm, and two longer, 327.4(1) and 328.1(1) pm, Nb-Nb separations:^{24,26}

Each edge of the triangle is bridged by a chloride while a $(\mu_3$ -O) and a $(\mu_3$ -OH₎ triply bridge opposite faces of the $Nb₃$ triangle. Terminal $C₅H₄M₂$ and Cl ligands on

each Nb complete the coordination sphere. In this conformation two of the Nb atoms are bonded, while the third is not bonded to the others. The odd electron is coupled to a single Nb nucleus **as** indicated by a characteristic 10-line ESR spectrum.

The very similar $[(C_6H_5)NbCl]_3(\mu_2\text{-}Cl)_3(\mu_3\text{-}OH)(\mu_3\text{-}H)$ O) is formed by hydrolysis of $(C_5H_5)NbCl_4$ to $[(C_5H_5) NbL₄]₂(\mu₂-O)$, where $L₄$ is a combination of $H₂O$ and terminal or bridging C1 such that the niobium remains eight-coordinate and pentavalent, 27 followed by reduction with aluminum, zinc powder, or sodium amalgam in thf.

 $[(C_5Me_5)NbCl_2]_2(\mu_2-Cl)(\mu_2-OH)(\mu_2-O)$ and polymeric $[Nb_2Cl_2O_4\cdot 3thf]$, are obtained by oxidation of $(C_5Me_5)_2$ -NbCl₂ with O_2 in the presence of H_2O .²⁸ Reduction of $[(C_5Me_5)NbCl_2]_2(\mu_2\text{-}\bar{Cl})(\mu_2\text{-}\bar{OH})(\mu_2\text{-}\bar{O})$ by zinc powder in the presence of zinc oxide produces a double salt:

$$
3[(C_5Me_5)NbCl_2]_2(\mu_2\text{-}Cl)(\mu_2\text{-}OH)(\mu_2\text{-}O) +2Zn + 2ZnO \rightarrow [(C_5Me_5)Nb(\mu_2\text{-}Cl)(\mu_2\text{-}O)]_3 \cdot [(C_5Me_5)Nb]_3(\mu_2\text{-}Cl)_2(\mu_2\text{-}OH)(\mu_2\text{-}O)_3][Zn_4Cl_{10}] +H_2O
$$

Structurally $[(C_5Me_5)Nb(\mu_2-Cl)(\mu_2-O)]_3^+$ and $[(C_5Me_5) Nb]_3(\mu_2\text{-}Cl)_2(\mu_2\text{-}OH)(\mu_2\text{-}O)_3$ ⁺ are very similar only differing by the replacement of a bridging C1 in the first by an OH in the second.

Hydrolysis of $(C_5Me_5)NbCl_4$ with excess water leads to a mixture of products.²⁹ Heating the crude mixture produces $[(C_5\overline{M}e_5)NbCl(\mu_2-O)]_3(\mu_3-O)(\mu_2-Cl)$ which yields ${[(C_5Me_5)Nb]_3(OH)(\mu_2-OH)_2(\mu_3-OH)(\mu_2-O)_2(\mu_3-A)}$ O)Cl}Cl upon treatment with water.²⁹

B. Tantalum

There are few structures on hydroxotantalum complexes. $(C_5Me_5) \text{TaCl}_4$ is quantitatively converted to $[(C_5Me_5)TaCl_2(OH)_2]_2(\mu_2-O)$ with excess of water. When this complex is heated in the solid state it loses water and HCl to form $[(C_5Me_5)Ta]_3(\mu_2-O)_3(\mu_3-O)(\mu_2-O)$ $Cl₀Cl₃$, which hydrolyzes in excess water to form the trinuclear cluster ${[(C_5Me_5)Ta]_3(OH)_2(\mu_2-OH)(\mu_3-OH)}$ - $(\mu_2$ -O)₂ $(\mu_3$ -O)Cl}Cl^{30,31} While this is not the formulation proposed in the original publication, $30,31$ the compound almost certainly possesses the same structure as the analogous niobium complex.²⁹

 $(C_5Me_5)Ta(H)_2(\eta^2-CHPMe_2)PMe_3$ reacts with deoxygenated water in benzene to give the butterfly oxo cluster $[(C_5Me_5)Ta(\mu_2-O)]_4(\mu_3-O)_2(\mu_4-O)(OH)_2$. The tetranuclear core is surrounded by seven oxo ligands, four of which are doubly bridging, two of which cap the triangular faces of each wing, and one of which quadruply bridges the hinge and wing-tip tantalums:32

The two terminal hydroxo ligands are attached to the wing-tip Ta atoms. Direct metal-metal bonds are not required to interpret the structure.

The hydrolysis of $(C_6Me_5)TaCl₄³¹$ and the air oxidation of $(C_5M_{e_5})TaCl_2(CO)_2$ the 133 produces $(C_5M_{e_5}) TaCl₂1₂(\mu₂-O)(\mu₂-OH)₂$. The molecule consists of two $C_5Me_5TaCl_2$ units bridged by one oxo and two hydroxo $liqands:33$

In contrast to niobium, no hydroxo complexes in which the oxidation state of tantalum is less than V have been structurally characterized.

VI. Chromium, Molybdenum, and Tungsten

There are many similarities between the chromium group metals, and it makes little sense to separate them in this discussion. Among these elements a few terminal hydroxo organometallic complexes are encountered. However, doubly or triply bridging hydroxo ligands are far more common.

Only two monometallic organo-hydroxo complexes of the chromium group have been structurally characterized. The Mo is octahedrally coordinated in $[Cr(en)_3^+]_3[(NC)_4Mo(O)(OH)]^3$ with the oxo and hydroxo ligands being trans.34 While the proton was not located in the structure, the two Mo-0 distances are $207.7(7)$ and 166.8(5) pm and the OH is assumed to have the longer bond. This distance is comparable to 205.0(5) pm in $[(C_5H_5)_2Mo(NH_2Me)(OH)]^+PF_6^-$ in which the cation has a typical bent metallocene structure.³⁵ $[(NC)_4Mo(O)(OH)]^{3-}$ is prepared from $[(NC)₄MoO₂]$ th by an acid-base reaction with water in methanol.³⁴ and $[(C_6H_6)_2Mo(NH_2Me)(OH)]$ by hydrolysis of $[(C_5H_5)_2Mo(SMe_2)Br]$ - in dilute, aqueous $NH₂Me.³⁶$

A terminal OH is also encountered in $(OC)_2Co(\mu_2 PPh_2$)₂(μ ₂-C-C₆H₄Me)W(C₅H₅)(OH), where the W-O distance, 208.7(6) pm, is nearly the same **as** the distances mentioned above. This compound is obtained from the corresponding chloride by hydrolysis on deactivated ${\rm alumina.}^{37}$

There are several examples of hydroxo bridges. **K3-** $[(OC)₃M(μ ₂-OH)₃M(CO)₃] forms during alkaline hy$ drolysis of $M(CO)_6$, $M = Mo$ or $W,^{38}$ and an X-ray structure has been determined on the tungsten derivative.³⁹ While the W-W distance, $323.0(5)$ pm, implies a metal-metal bond, such bonding is not required to produce an 18-electron count about the metals and the short separation may reflect geometric constraints imposed by the necessity of minimizing *0-0* repulsions between bridging OH groups. The mean W-0 distance, 216(2) pm, is long, the W-O-W angle, $97(1)^\circ$, is relatively narrow, and the **O.-O** contacts, 245 pm, are less than the sum of oxygen van der Waals radii, 280 pm.

 $[(C_7H_7)Mo(\mu_2-Br)(\mu_2-OH)_2Mo(C_7H_7)]$ ⁺ was obtained from a reaction between $Mo(CO)₃(C₇H₇)$ ⁺BF₄⁻ and $C_8H_7SiMe_3$ in thf; the bromine was probably an impurity in the C₈H₇SiMe₃ and the source of the OH is uncertain.⁴⁰ Usual electron-counting conventions imply a Mo-Mo bond, which is also indicated by the Mo-Mo separation, 288.2(2) pm. The average Mo-0 distance, 208 pm, and Mo-0-Mo angle, 88.1', are consistent with this description.

Closely related is $[(C_7H_7)Mo(\mu_2-C_1)_2(\mu_2-OH)Mo (C_7H_7)^+$, which was one of several products from reactions between LiCl and $[(C_7H_7)Mo$ (toluene)] $[PF_6]$ in methanol.41 The Mo-O,208.4 pm (av), and Mo-Mo, 309.1(1) pm, distances as well **as** the Mo-0-Mo angle, 95.8(3)°, resemble those in $[(C_7H_7)Mo(\mu_2-Br)(\mu_2-OH)_2]$ - $Mo(C₇H₇)] + .41$

 $(C_5H_5)(Cl)_2Mo(\mu_2\text{-}OH)(\mu_2\text{-}SMe)_2Mo(Cl)(C_5H_5)$, isolated from a reaction between (C_5H_5) MoCl₄ and CH₃-SH in diethyl ether, consists of a (C_5H_5) MoCl₂ moiety linked to (C_5H_5) MoCl through one OH and two SMe bridges.42 The Mo-Mo distance, 272.6(1) pm, indicates metal-metal bonding. The molecule is asymmetric and the $(C_5H_5)Cl_2Mo-O$ distance, 196.5(5) pm, is longer than (C_5H_5) ClMo-O, 191.7(5) pm. Interestingly, the opposite trend occurs with the Mo-S bonds, where those to $(C_5H_5)C_2M_0$ are about 15 pm shorter than the ones to (C_5H_5) ClMo.

A structure has been determined for $(C_5H_5)(ON)$ - $Cr(\mu_2\text{-}SeBu^t)(\mu_2\text{-}OH)Cr(NO)(C_5H_5)$, a minor product from the reaction of $(C_5H_5)(ON)_2CrCl$ with Mg(Se-Bu^t)₂.⁴³ The Cr-O distance, 196 pm (av), and Cr-O-Cr angle, 93.9(2)°, are comparable to those in $(\mu_2\text{-OH})$ bridged Mo and W compounds, when size differences between the metals is taken into account. The H atom on oxygen was located and refined in the X-ray structure.

Three **as** of yet uncharacterized compounds were obtained in a reaction of $[(C_5H_5)_2Mo(H)(Li)]_4$ with NO. Prolonged treatment of each with aqueous HPF_6 gives $[(C_5H_5)Mo(\mu-C_5H_4-C_5H_4)(\mu_2-H)(\mu_2-OH)Mo(C_5H_5)]$ - $(PF_6)_2$:44

The OH group symmetrically bridges the two Mo atoms, $Mo-O = 208 \text{ pm}$ (av), $Mo-O-Mo = 94.3(6)$ ^o, and a Mo-Mo bond is indicated.

Pyrazoleborate and -galate chemistry has led to three novel organo Mo and W hydroxides. Attempts to form a methylallyl complex analogous to $[\text{Me}_2\text{Ga}(3.5\text{-Me}_2-)]$ $HC_3N_2)_2]Mo(CO)_2(MeC_3H_4)$ failed,⁴⁵ rather

forms, apparently by hydrolysis of an intermediate with "adventitious" water. The X-ray structure, in which hydrogen atoms were located and refined, reveals distorted octahedral coordination about Mo and a

folded $Mo(\mu_2-OH)_2Mo$ ring (dihedral angle 28°) with the oxygen atoms being displaced toward the pyrazole ligand to which they are hydrogen bonded. Two different Mo-0 distances occur in the molecule: 223.7(2) pm, to the Mo to which the hydrogen-bonding pyrazolate ligand is coordinated and 214.0(2) pm to the other.⁴⁶

Hydrolysis involving water of unknown origin also occurs in attempted preparations of $[MeGa(3,5-Me₂-HC₃N₂)₂](\mu_2-OH)M(CO)₂(RC₃H₄), M = Mo or W; R =$ **H** or Me. A structure of the Mo compound with R = Me shows⁴⁷

An interesting feature of this structure is that the hydroxyl hydrogen has been precisely enough located to show that the oxygen is pyramidal. The Mo-0 distance, 227.2(4) pm, is somewhat longer than these involved in typical $Mo(\mu_2-OH)Mo$ bridges.

An unusual bridging hydroxide forms in the hydrolysis of a (pyrazol-1-y1)borate complex

While the details of the reaction are beyond the scope of this review, an initial coordination of water to the metal is postulated.⁴⁸ A structure was determined for the W derivative and the W-0 bond, 219.4(6) pm, is in the usual range.

From the viewpoint of this review, the preceding two compounds are particularly interesting **as** they are among the very few examples of an OH group bridging transition and main group metals.

The most common structure encountered in the organo-hydroxo complexes of the group 6 metals is a cubane-like arrangement with metal and hydroxide oxygen atoms occupying alternate corners of a distorted M404 cube. In general these clusters are electron precise, with OH- utilizing three lone pairs to bond three metals. The structurally characterized examples are $[(OC)_3M(\mu_3-OH)]_4$ ⁴ $(M = Cr, 49 MO, 50, 51 W, 50)$, $[(ON)(OC)_2Mo(\mu_3-OH)]_4$,⁵² $[(C_7H_7)Mo(\mu_3-OH)]_4$,⁴¹ and $[(OC)_3W(\mu_3-OH)(H)]_4$.⁵³ The cores of all of these are typical of A_4B_4 cubane complexes.⁵⁴ The Mo-O and W-0 bond lengths range between 215 and 228 pm while the Cr-0 range is 211-215 pm.

While details of the individual syntheses vary, the $M(CO)₃ compounds are formed by reactions of M(CO)₆$ or related carbonyl derivatives with base, 49-51,53 the NO complex from $[(OC)₃Mo(\mu₃-OH)(H)]₄$, and NO.⁵² $[(C_7H_7)Mo(\mu_3-OH)]_4$ formed, along with $[(C_7H_7)Mo (\mu_2\text{-}Cl)_2(\mu_2\text{-}OH)Mo(C_7H_7)]^+$, during attempts to crystallize $(C_7H_7)Mo(Cl)(MeC_6H_5).^{41}$

In addition to the cubane complexes there is a $Cr₄$ compound with the adamantane structure. $(C_5Me_6)_{2^-}$ $Cr_2(CO)_4$ reacts with H_2O in refluxing toluene to produce $[(C_5Me_5)_4Cr_4(\mu_2-OH)_6]^{2+}$ in moderate yield.⁵⁵ A structure, determined on the BF₄- salt, shows a tetrahedral arrangement of $(Me₆C₆)C_r$ units with each edge bridged by an OH. This deep blue ion is paramagnetic and has been formulated **as** a Cr(II1) complex. The Cr-0 distance is 195.7 pm (av) and Cr-O-Cr is $140.4(4)$ °.

Finally, if cis -[Mo(N₂)₂(PMe₃)₄] and CO₂ are allowed to react in a 2:l acetone/toluene mixture for 15-20 days "small amounts" of red-orange $Mo_{4}(\mu_{4}\text{-}CO_{3})(CO)_{2}(O)_{2}$ - $(\mu_2$ -O)₂(μ_2 -OH)₄(PMe₃)₆ were isolated.⁵⁶ The structure of this unusual $Mo^{IL}\dot{M}o^V$ species is

VII. Manganese, Techneclum, and Rhenium

A. Manganese

The characterized organomanganese hydroxides have structures based on the cubane core. When conducted in thf $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ and $Me_3NO·2H_2O$ give $[Mn(CO)_3$ - $(\mu_3\text{-OH})_4$]₄.⁵⁷ Structures have been determined on bis adducts with toluene⁵⁷ and ethylenediamine,⁵⁸ with which it forms a hydrogen-bonded diamondoid network. $[Mn(CO)₃F_x(\mu₃-OH)_{4-x}]$ ₄ which was isolated from the reaction of $Mn(CO)_5X$ (X = Cl or Br) with TlF or AgF. A structure was determined on a crystal where *x* = 1.4. Apparently the fluorides and hydroxides are disordered.⁵⁹

A complex with higher nuclearity, $Mn_7(CO)_{18}(\mu_3$ - $OH₁₈$, forms when benzophenone is present during the reaction of $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ with $Me_3NO·2H_2O.^{57}$ This Mn_7 species consists of two cubane units joined through a common corner Mn atom. The geometries and Mn-0 bond distances involving $[Mn(CO)₃(\mu₃-OH)₄]$ ₄, [Mn- $(CO)_{3}F_{x}(\mu_{3}-OH)_{4-x}]_{4}$, and the outer six Mn atoms in $Mn_7(CO)_{18}(\mu_3-OH)_{18}$ are essentially identical. However, coordination of the central Mn, which joins the two cubane units, in $Mn_7(CO)_{18}(\mu_3-OH)_{18}$ is intermediate between octahedral and trigonal prismatic and its Mn-O distances, 216.9(2) pm (av), are longer than the 205-pm Mn-0 separations seen in the other complexes and in the outer atoms of the Mn7 species. This unique atom is assigned **as** Mn(I1) on the basis of its structure and a charge balance criterion.⁵⁷

B. Technecium

hydroxides of this element. We are not aware of any structures for organometallic

C. Rhenium

trans-(Dioxo)(tetracyano)rhenium(V), [ReO₂(CN)₄]³⁻, can be protonated to $[{\rm ReO(OH)(CN)}_4]^2$ which can be crystallized as the [PPb]+ **salt.** The [ReO(OH)(CN)412 ion has a distorted octahedral geometry. Bond distances are $Re=O = 170(1)$, $Re=OH = 190(1)$, and $Re-C (av) = 212(2)$ pm. The Re atom is displaced 8 pm out of the plane formed by the four carbon atoms toward the terminal oxo ligand, and the O=Re-OH unit is nearly linear, $175.7(5)°$.⁶⁰

Photolysis of Re_2 (CO)₈(Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂) in wet toluene or benzene leads to a 35% yield of $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_6(\mu_2-\text{H})(\text{Ph}_2-\text{H})$ PCH_2PPh_2)(μ_2 -OH) and a 35% yield of $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_6(\text{Ph}_2$ - PCH_2PPh_2)(μ_2 -OH)₂. A crystal structure, which locates the OH, CH₂, and hydride hydrogens, shows the first of these to be

The Re-0 distances are 217.7(5) and 216.0(5) pm and Re-O-Re is $88.6(2)$ °, within the slightly asymmetric $Re₂O(OH)$ ring.⁶¹

A Re_3 cluster containing a μ_3 -OH, $\text{[Re}_3(\mu_2-H)_3(\text{CO})_9$ - $(\mu_3$ -OH)]-, has been isolated as an NMe₃ adduct from reactions of $[{\rm Re}_3(\mu_2-H)_3({\rm CO})_9(\mu_2-O)]^2$ with Me₃NH⁺Cl⁻, by protonation of $[{\rm Re}_3(\mu_2-H)_3({\rm CO})_9(\mu_2-O)]^{2-}$ followed by treatment with NMe₃, or by reaction of $[{\rm Re}_3(\mu_2 H_{4}(CO)_{10}$ - with ONMe₃. In the ONMe₃ reaction the $(\mu_2$ -OH) comes either from water present in the amine oxide **or** by attack of a hydride on the oxygen of coordinated amine oxide. The structure of the anion is

with $Re-O = 212.6$ (av), $Re-Re = 297.9$ pm (av), and $Re-O-Re = 88.9^{\circ}$ (av). The O-H is hydrogen bonded to a triethylamine.62

 $[(OC)_3Re(\mu_3-OH)]_4$, from the reaction of $Re_2(CO)_{10}$ or $\text{Re}(\text{CO})_5\text{Cl}$ with H_2O at 200 °C,⁶³ possesses a distorted "cubane" structure. The Re atoms are approximately octahedral, and $Re-O = 220.7(8)$ pm, $O-Re-O = 73.9^{\circ}$, and Re-O-Re = $104.0(5)$ °. Each of the O-H groups hydrogen bonds to a benzene molecule which lies perpendicular to a crystallographic 3-fold axis which passes through the OH group. Each benzene is involved in two of these hydrogen bonds and, **as** such, uses both faces in its interaction with $O-H$ groups.⁶⁴

A final organorhenium hydroxide is the unusual

which formed by partial hydrolysis during the crystallization of ${[(OC)_5Re]_3O}BF_4$. The two compounds cocrystallize. The OH of $F_3B(\mu_2\text{-}OH)Re(CO)_5$ hydrogen bonds to a BF_4 ⁻ anion and $Re-O = 216.7$ and $B-O =$ 147.6 pm.65

VI I I. Iron, Ruthenium, and Osmium

A. Iron

The two structurally characterized organoiron hydroxides are $Fe_2(CO)_6(\mu_2\text{-}PRR')(\mu_2\text{-}OH)$ with $R = R'$

Both structures are typical of $Fe₂(CO)₆(\mu₂-X)₂$ compounds but are unusual in that they have two different bridging groups. $Fe₂(CO)₆{\mu_2-P(H)[CH(SiMe₃)₂}({\mu_2-P(H)})$ OH) possesses the additional unusual feature of cocrystallizing with $Fe₂(CO)₆(\mu₂-CO)(\mu₂-H) $\mu₂$ -P[CH (SiMe₃)₂ (OH)$, which hydrogen bonds through its P-OH group to the O(H) of $Fe₂(CO)₆(\mu₂-P(H))$ [CH- $(SiMe₃)₂$] $\{\mu_2-OH\}$.⁶⁷ In Fe₂(CO)₆ $\{\mu_2-P(H)$ [CH(SiMe₃)₂]}- $(\mu_2\text{-}OH)$ the Fe-O = 199.0(2) pm and Fe-O-Fe = 76.6(1)^{o67} and in Fe₂(CO)₆[$\mu_2\text{-}P(C_6H_4Me)_2$]($\mu_2\text{-}OH$) the corresponding values are 197.2 pm (av) and $79.1(2)$ ^o.⁶⁶

 $Fe₂(CO)₆(\mu₂-PR₂)(\mu₂-OH)$ (R = p-C₆H₄Me) forms in low yield as a side product during direct reactions of

Fe₂(CO)₉ with PR₂H or in 60% yield by
\nFe(CO)₄(PR₂H) + Buli
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 Fe(CO)₄(PR₂)Li
\nFe(CO)₄(PR₂)Li + Fe₂(CO)₉
\n \rightarrow
\n $Fe_2(CO)_{6}(\mu_2\text{-PR}_2)(\mu_2\text{-OH})$

The sources of the OH- is unknown, but the relatively high yield argues against reactions involving minor impurities.⁶⁶

 $Fe₂(CO)₆$ $(\mu₂-P(H)[CH(SiMe₃)₂](\mu₂-OH)$ is one of a large number of products formed in the reaction of $[NEt_4]_2[Fe_2(CO)_8]$ with $Cl_2PCH(SiMe_3)_2.^{67}$

B. Ruthenlum and Osmium

Apparently no monometallic organo hydroxides of ruthenium or osmium have been structurally characterized. Rather a number of remarkable clusters are encountered.

In the simpler complexes which contain μ_2 -OH ligands the M-0 distances are typically between about 207 and 210 pm, while in higher clusters about 212-216 pm is more typical. Within many complexes there are small differences in apparently similar M-0 bonds, and some structures contain several molecules per asymmetric unit, each with somewhat different bond lengths and angles. There are no significant differences between Ru-0 and Os-0 bond lengths, and the angles involving the OH groups often appear to be influenced more by the geometric constraints of the cluster than by intrinsic interactions between the hydroxo ligand and the metals to which it is most closely associated.

The hydrolysis of $[(\text{arene})MCl_2]_2$ (M = Ru or Os) provides a variety of hydroxo complexes depending on the arene and the exact conditions of the reaction. With the bulky $1,2,5-Me_3C_6H_3$, C_6Me_6 , or $p-MeC_6H_4CHMe_2$ and aqueous $Na₂CO₃$ or NaOH, [(arene)Ru(μ ₂-OH)₃-

$$
Ru(arene)]^{+} \text{ is obtained:}^{68}
$$
\n
$$
[(arene)RuCl_{2}]_{2} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
^{Na_{2}CO_{3}}
$$
\n
$$
^{(or NaOH)}
$$

 $[(\text{arene})\text{Ru}(\mu_2\text{-OH})_3\text{Ru}(\text{arene})]^+$

With arene = C_6H_6 the reactions of $[(C_6H_6)MCl_2]_2$ are more complex. In aqueous solution with approximately equal metal and $CO₃²⁻$ concentrations a tetrameric cation forms:

$$
2[(C_6H_6)RuCl_2]_2 + 2Na_2CO_3 \rightarrow [(C_6H_6)Ru(\mu_3-OH)]_4^{4+}
$$

 $[(C_6H_6)Ru(\mu_3-OH)]_4^{4+}$ contains a cubane core of alternating ruthenium and oxygen atoms.⁶⁹ In contrast, a tetranuclear oxo-centered cation forms with excess NaOH or Na₂CO₃:

$$
2[(C_6H_6)MCl_2]_2 \underset{(or NaOH)}{\xrightarrow{\text{excess}}} [(C_6H_6)_4M_4(\mu_2-OH)_4(\mu_4-O)]^{2+}
$$

In this compound two binuclear $[(C_6H_6)M(\mu_2-OH)_2M$ - (C_6H_6) ²⁺ units share a tetrahedrally coordinated oxide ion.68

A triply OH bridged ion has been obtained by the hydrolysis of a phenoxide complex:70

$$
{\begin{aligned}\n &\left[(C_6 H_6)Ru(\mu_2 \text{-} OPh)_3 \right]_2^+ \xrightarrow{H_2O} \\
 &\left[(C_6 H_6)Ru(\mu_2 \text{-} OH)_3Ru(C_6 H_6) \right]^+ \n \end{aligned}}
$$

Perhaps this method succeeds because it avoids basic media employed in the reaction of $[(C_6H_6)MCI_2]_2$, and which may favor formation of the tetranuclear species.

The $[(\text{arene})M(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_3M(\text{arene})]^+$ complexes themselves can serve as precursors to binuclear hydroxo complexes. With pyrazole⁷¹

and with aldehydes⁷²

Another bimetallic ruthenium complex, which contains a single, doubly bridging hydroxide, forms in an oxidation using peracetic acid. 73

The hydroxo hydrogen was located in the final difference map but was not refined.

Structural confirmation is available for a number of hydroxo M_3 carbonyl clusters. Among the simplest of these is $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\text{L})(\mu_2\text{-OH})(\mu_2\text{-H})$ which is obtained from the as of yet structurally uncharacterized Os3- $(CO)_{10}(\mu_2\text{-}OH)(\mu_2\text{-}H)$ by ligand exchange⁷⁴ or by an unusual route from $O_{s_3}H_2(CO)_9(PMe_2Ph):^{75}$

An analogous reaction of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{OH})(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{H})$ with $Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2$ (dppm) produces $Os_3(CO)_8(dppm)(\mu_2 OH)(\mu_2-H)$ in which the dppm, OH, and H all bridge the same edge of the $Os₃$ triangle.⁷⁶

More unexpected pathways to M_3 hydroxo clusters include an isomerization of the product of the reaction between $Os_3(CO)_{10}(NCMe)_2$ and $Me_2C=NOH$:

The structures of all of these clusters are normal. In each the Os-Os distances lie between about 270 and 300 pm, which are typical for Os-Os bonds. However, there are several trimetallic clusters in which the metals bridged by hydroxide are not directly bonded. Thus

in which the distance between the two osmiums bridged by OH is 337 pm, indicating there is no direct bond between these metals. $77,78$

In another trimetal cluster, $FeRu_2(CO)_8(PPh_3)_2(\mu_2 OH₂$, the Ru-Ru edge is bridged by two OH groups and the ruthenium atoms are not bonded. This complex forms by chloride substitution in weakly basic solution:

In $Na_2CO_3/ProH$ a lower yield is obtained, along with a side product which may be the mixed hydridohydroxo complex.79

One of the more successful methods of activating metal carbonyls involves the use of Me₃NO which converts CO to $CO₂$. Me₃NO \cdot 2H₂O also has been successfully employed to prepare an osmium-hydridohydroxo complex: **OSTABLE 1** Carbonyls involves the use of Me₃Nerts CO to CO₂. Me₃NO.2H₂O also ssfully employed to prepare an osmium-
 α complex:
 α ₅ (CO)₁₀ (μ ₃ S) + 2Me₃NO.2H₂O

The osmiums which are bridged by OH are not bonded as indicated by their 370.7(6)-pm separation.⁸⁰

Several M4 hydroxo clusters are known. With the exception of $[(C_6H_6)_4M_4(\mu_2-OH)_4(\mu_4-O)]^+$, which was mentioned earlier, they all possess a "butterfly" conformation of the metal atoms in which, with one exception, the "wing tips" are connected by a bridging OH.

 $[Os_4(CO)_{12}H_4(\mu_2-OH)]$ ⁺[Os(CO)₃(NO₃)₃]⁻ has been obtained in high yield by reaction of $\mathrm{Os}_4(\mathrm{CO})_{12}H_4$ with N_2O_4 . The first step apparently involves oxidation to $[Os_4(CO)_{12}H_4]^{2+}$, followed by a series of proton dissociations and the addition of water, whose source is unknown.⁸¹ In a similar process $[Os_4(CO)_{12}H_3]$ ⁻ is oxidized by $NO^+PF_6^-$ in wet MeCN to several products including $\rm Os_4(CO)_{12}H_3(\mu_2\text{-}OH).$ ⁸² The heavy-atom structures of $[Os_4(CO)_{12}H_4(\mu_2-OH)]^+$ and $Os(CO)_{12}H_3(\mu_2-OH)$ OH) are the same. While the hydride locations are not unambiguously established, they are thought to lie along the "wing tips" of the Os4 butterfly.

A different disposition of ligands about the M4 butterfly core is encountered in $Ru_4(CO)_{10}(C=CHPr^3)$ - $(\mu_3$ -OH)(μ_2 -PPh₂) where one of the Ru₃ triangles is capped by the \overline{OH} . The same Ru₃ unit is edge bridged by PPh2 and capped on the side opposite the OH by the vinylidene group which itself complexes the remaining wing-tip Ru. The hydrogen on the OH was located in a difference map. The compound was formed by hydrolysis of $Ru_3(CO)_{11}(Ph_2PC=CPr^2)$ in moist thf.⁸³

While no M5 hydroxo clusters have been characterized for the iron group several M_6 complexes are known. $\mathrm{Os}_3(CO)_8(NMe_3)(\mu_2\text{-}OH)(\mu_3\text{-}S)(\mu_2\text{-}H)$, which is mentioned above, reacts with $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\mu_3-\text{S})$ to produce $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}(\mu_2-\text{OH})(\mu_4-\text{S})(\mu_3-\text{S})(\mu_2-\text{H})$:⁸⁰

The 0-H proton was located in a difference map, but was not refined. The hydride was not located but is assumed to bridge the longest Os-Os bond.

UV radiation of a mixture of $Ru_3(CO)_9(\mu_3-CO)(\mu_3-S)$ and $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ in wet thf produces $Ru_6(CO)_{18}(\mu_2-OH)_{2}$ - (μ_4-S) :

The hydroxyl hydrogens were located and refined and one hydrogen bonds to the second oxygen. Formal electron counting indicates this to be a 94-electron, rather than a 92-electron precise complex. Two lowenergy absorptions which are responsible for the compound's blue color are attributed to the extra two electrons occupying antibonding orbitals within the core of the molecule.84

A final M_6 complex is $[Ru_3Cl_3(CO)_6(COE)_2(\mu_3 OH)$]₂.85 The three ruthenium atoms in each half of the dimeric unit are octahedrally coordinated. The octahedra share a common vertex occupied by a hydroxo group:

The complex is prepared by the reaction of $Ru(C_3H_5)$ - $Cl(CO)₃$ with $CH₂=CH₂$ in benzene. The Ru-OH distances are 216 pm (av).

IX. Cobalt, Rhodium, and Irldlum

A. Cobalt

organocobalt hydroxides. We are unaware of any structurally characterized

B. Rhodium and Iridium

 $Ir(CH₂EMe₃)(CO)(PPh₃)₂$ (E = C or Si) can be hydrolyzed to Ir(OH)(CO)(PPh3)2. Befitting **a** derivative of Vaaka's compound, the coordination about **Ir** is trans-square planar. The **Ir-0** distance, 211.0(9) pm, is close to the sum of the covalent radii of O and Ir.⁸⁶ This is the only structure of a terminal hydroxo complex of Rh or Ir.

There are a number of neutral or cationic dirhodium or iridium complexes containing bridging hydroxo groups. $[(C_3H_5)_2RhCl]_2$ reacts with KO_2 in CH_2Cl_2 to form $(C_3H_5)_2Rh(\mu\text{-}OH)_2Rh(C_3H_5)_2$ in 70% vield. The reaction is thought to proceed through a dioxygen intermediate which abstracts hydrogen from the solvent, forming the final product.⁸⁷ In $(C_3H_5)_2Rh(\mu OH₂Rh(C₃H₅)₂$ rhodium is cis-octahedral if the coordination sphere is defined by the terminal carbons in the allyl groups and the two bridging hydroxide oxygen atoms. The average metrical parameters in the $Rh₂O₂$ core are Rh-O = $2\overline{17.7 \text{ pm}}$, O-Rh-O = 81.8° , Rh-O-Rh = 98° .

Cationic $[(C_5Me_5)(L)Rh(\mu-OH)_2Rh(C_5Me_5)(L)]^{2+}$ forms with a variety of pyridine type ligands by

$$
\begin{aligned} [{(C_5Me_5)Rh(\mu_2-OH)_3Rh(C_5Me_5)}]CIO_4 + \\ & HClO_4 + 2L \rightarrow \\ [{(C_5Me_5)(L)Rh(\mu_2-OH)_2Rh(C_5Me_5)(L)}] (ClO_4)_2 + \\ & H_2O \end{aligned}
$$

A structure has been determined for the pyridine adduct. The coordination around the rhodium atoms is pseudo-octahedral with the C_5Me_5 occupying three *fac* positions, pyridine one, and the hydroxides the remaining two sites. Distances and angles are Rh-O = 211 pm (av), O-Rh-O = 76.8(2)°, and Rh-O-Rh = 103.1(2)°. The bridging OH groups are hydrogen bonded to the $ClO₄⁻⁸⁹$

The very similar complex with $L = 3.5$ -dimethylpyrazole, Me2CHN2H, has **also** been structurally investigated. In this compound Rh-O = 217.9 pm (av), $O-Rh-O = 129.8^{\circ}$ (av), and Rh-O-Rh = 99.3°.⁹⁰

There are several complexes in which two Rh or **Ir** atoms are connected by triple bridges, at least one of which is an OH. Tris- μ_2 -hydroxo structures are known for $[(C_5Me_5)M(\mu_2-OH)_3M(C_5Me_5)]^+X^-$ with $M = Rh$ and $X = OH^-$ and for $M = Ir$ and $X = MeCO_2^-$. Assuming the C_5Me_5 ligands each occupy three coordination sites the metal atoms are pseudo-octahedral and the two C_5Me_5 rings are approximately parallel. Mean distances are $Rh-O = 210.9$ and $Ir-O = 212.0$ pm, and angles are Rh-O-Rh = **89.5O,** O-Rh-O = 75.0°, Ir-O-Ir = 92.8° , and O-Ir-O = 73.3° . In both cases the bridging hydroxides are hydrogen bonded to the anion and, in the Rh compound, to waters of crystallization.⁹¹

The hydroxo ligands in $[(C_5Me_5)Rh(\mu_2-OH)_3Rh(C_5-$

Me₅)]⁺ can be substituted by acid-base reactions
\n
$$
[(C_5Me_5)Rh(\mu_2-OH)_3Rh(C_5Me_5)]^+ + 2HL \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
[(C_5Me_5)Rh(\mu_2-OH)(\mu_2-L)_2Rh(C_5Me_5)]^+ + 2H_2O
$$

and the structure of one of these has been solved; the

Rh-O distance is 211.0(4) pm and the Rh-O-Rh angle is $111.7(2)°.90$

Air oxidation of $Ir_2(C_8H_{12})_2Cl_2$ produces (C_8H_{12}) - $(Cl)\text{Ir}(\mu_2\text{-}O)(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_2\text{Ir}(C_8H_{12})(Cl)$ in low yield. In this complex the octahedrally coordinated metal atoms are joined by three bridging oxygens, two of which have been assigned **as** OH and one an 0. While an unambiguous determination of the bridging groups was not possible the two symmetry-related groups whose Ir-O distances, $209.8(1)$ pm, exceed the remaining Ir-O separation, $202.4(8)$ pm, are thought to be the hydroxo ligands.⁹²

A bis-iridium μ_2 -oxo complex undergoes a dramatic. rearrangement upon heating in benzene or toluene to produce a $(\mu_2\text{-OH})$ linkage:

The reaction occurs when R is $3-MeC_6H_4$ or Ph and a structure **has** been determined for the Ph derivative. The Ir-0 distance of 214 pm is considerably longer than either of the two Ir-0 distances in the starting oxo complex.⁹³

Finally, two very similar Rh and Ir **"A** frame" complexes, prepared by **thend of the two Ir-O distances in the startir mplex.**³³
 lly, two very similar Rh and Ir "A frame xes, prepared by
 trans - [MICI)ICO)(R_2 PCH₂ PR₂)]₂ + 0H⁻ -----

have been structurally characterized. $94,95$ The basic structures of the two anions are very similar: $Ir-O = 207(2)$ pm, $Ir-O-Ir = 99.4(8)°$ and Rh-O = 206.8(4) pm, $Rh-O-Rh = 99.1(1)^\circ$. In the structure of the Ir complex the OH hydrogen was located in the difference map, but was not refined. In both complexes the

hydroxide hydrogen bonds, in the Ir case, 94 to a Clcounterion and, with Rh,⁹⁵ to an acetone of solvation.

X. Nickel, Palladium, and Platlnum

A. Nickel

Structures have been reported for four organohydroxide complexes of nickel. Three of these were prepared by metathesis reactions and a rational synthetic route has been designed for the fourth, which originally was found as a minor side product in another reaction.

The treatment of trans-Ni($PMe_3)_2(R)(X)$, R = alkyl, with H₂O or OH⁻ yields alkylnickel dimers containing bridging hydroxides. While a variety of alkyl groups have been utilized⁹⁶⁻⁹⁸ a structure is only available for

$$
R = CH_2C_6H_4Me, which was synthesized by
$$

2($MeC_6H_4CH_2$)(Me_3P)₂Ni($NC_4H_2Me_2$) + 2 H_2O \rightarrow
($MeC_6H_4CH_2$)(Me_3P)Ni(μ_2 -OH)₂Ni(PMe₃)
($CH_2C_6H_4Me$) + 2 PMe_3 + 2 $HNC_4H_2Me_2$

The Ni atoms are approximately square planar, and the $Ni₂(OH)₂$ core is folded (dihedral angle = 133.6°) with the oxygen atoms oriented toward and apparently hydrogen bonded to a 2,5-dimethylpyrrole molecule of crystallization. The Ni-0 separation is 191.9 pm (av).

An anionic doubly OH bridged complex is also obtained by metathesis:

$$
2cis\text{-}[Ni(C_6F_5)_2(NCPh)_2] + 2Bu^t_4NOH \rightarrow
$$

[Bu^t_4N]₂[(C_6F_5)_2Ni(\mu_2-OH)_2Ni(C_6F_5)_2] + 4PhCN

Distances and angles within the planar $Ni₂(OH)₂$ core are Ni-O = 189 pm (av), Ni-O-Ni = $99.2(4)$ °, and Ni- $0-Ni = 80.8(4)$ °. 99

The hydroxides in this anion are labile, and mono or disubstituted derivatives can be obtained from reactions with various bases. **A** monohydroxo complex forms from the reaction with pyrazole, $C_3H_3N_2H$:

A structure on the $[But_4N]^+$ salt shows the fivemembered ring, containing the two Ni atoms, has an envelope conformation. The average Ni-0 distance is $189.1(4)$ pm. 99

The final structurally characterized Ni complex is $\rm{Ni}_{3}(CH_{2}C_{6}H_{4}Me)_{4}(PMe_{3})_{2}(\mu_{3}OH)_{2}$ which originally was obtained as a minor side product during the work up of reactions between $\rm NiCl_2(PMe_3)_2$ and $\rm Mg(CH_2C_6H_4-$ Me)(Cl). Subsequently it was prepared in $70-80\%$ yields by⁹⁸

$$
\{(MeC_6H_LCH_2)(Me_3P) Ni(p-OH)\} _2 + NiR_3(tmed) \quad \frac{PMe_3}{P}
$$

Each Ni is approximately square planar with the three planes' edges joined through the $(\mu_3$ -OH) groups. The Ni-0 distances to the nickel which is also bonded to two alkyl groups are 199.9 (av) and 193.1 pm (av) to the other two.

B. Palladium and Platlnum

A Pt complex, (dppe)PtMe(OH), whose Pt-0 bond distance is 202.5(8) pm has been mentioned in a review article, without details.²

 $[(C_6F_5)_2Pd(\mu_2-OH)_2Pd(C_6F_5)_2]^2$ can be prepared by a route analogous to that for $[(C_6F_5)_2Ni(\mu_2-OH)_2Ni (C_6F_5)_2$ ^{2-:100}

$$
2cis \cdot (C_6F_5)_2 Pd(NCPh)_2 + 2Bu_4 NOH \rightarrow
$$

$$
[Bu_4'N]_2(C_6F_5)_2 Pd(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_2 Pd(C_6F_5)_2
$$

with platinum this method fails as coordinated benzonitrile undergoes nucleophilic attack by hydroxide. However, the bis(μ_2 -OH) platinum complex has been obtained by chloride metathesis:¹⁰¹

$$
[Bu4N]2[(C6X5)2Pt(μ2-Cl)2Pt(C6X5)2] + 2Bu4NOH \n\rightarrow [Bu4N]2[(C6X5)2Pt(μ2-OH)2Pt(C6X5)2] + 2Bu4NCI \nX = F or Cl
$$

All three $\text{[Bu4N]}_2\text{[(C_6F_5)}_2\text{M}(\mu_2\text{-OH})_2\text{M}(C_6F_5)_2\text{]}$ compounds $(M = Ni¹⁰², Pd¹⁰⁰, Pt¹⁰¹)$ are isomorphous, with square-planar metals forming a planar M_2O_2 ring. The metrical parameters are nearly the same for the Pd (Pd-O 207.3 pm (av), O-Pd-O 81.2(4)°, Pd-O-Pd $98.8(4)$ ^o) and Pt compounds (Pt-O 207(2) pm, O-Pt-O 78.6(5) \degree , Pt-O-Pt 101.4(6) \degree) and are similar to those in the Ni compound when allowance **is** made for the different sizes of the metals.

The reactions of $[(C_6F_5)_2Pt(\mu_2-OH)_2Pt(C_6F_5)]^2$ with azoles are very similar to those of $[(C_6F_5)_2Ni(\mu_2-OH)_2$ - $Ni(C_6F_5)_2]^2$. A structure has been determined for $[Bu_4N]_2[(C_6F_5)_2Pt(\mu_2-3,5-Me_2C_3HN_2)(\mu_2-OH)Pt (C_6F_5)_2$].¹⁰² The central five-membered Pt₂N₂O ring is puckered, the average Pt-0 distance is 210 pm (av) and the overall structure closely resembles the nickelpyrazolate complex.

A tripalladium complex, $\{[(8-mq)Pd]_3(\mu_3-Ph_2PCHCO_2-\}$ Et)(μ_3 -OH)}⁺PF₆⁻, has been characterized from

$$
\begin{pmatrix} C \\ N \end{pmatrix} = 8 - mq = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ ON \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} CH_2 \\ AN \end{pmatrix}
$$

The OH is thought to originate from traces of water in solvents or on the silica gel used to purify the compound. There are three different Pd-0 distances: 228(1) pm to the Pd which is also bonded to phosphorus, 226.1(8) pm to the carbon-bonded Pd, and 214.4(9) pm to the Pd which is coordinated by two oxygens. The differences in bond lengths may be due to differences in the trans ligand. 103

In 1947 a structure of "tetramethylplatinum" was published.¹⁰⁴ Later the crystals used in this early study were shown to be $[M_{2}Pt(\mu_3-OH)]_4$.¹⁰⁵ In 1968 X-ray¹⁰⁶ and neutron diffraction¹⁰⁷ studies appeared on [Me₃- $Pt(\mu_3-OH)$]₄, precipitated from solutions containing $Me₃Pt⁺$ upon the addition of base. While none of these is precise by modern standards, they establish that [Mes- $Pt(\mu_3-OH)$]₄ possesses a cubane like structure with Pt-O bond distances of about 220-222 pm. In the neutron structure the hydrogen atoms have been located and the 0-H bond, 93(8) pm, is directed away from the Pt_4O_4 cluster.¹⁰⁷

XI. Copper, Silver, and Gold

A. Copper and Silver

There is much interest in hydroxo-bridged copper complexes, which are important in establishing magnetic interactions between d^9 ions.¹⁰⁸ Nonetheless, there do not appear to be any structures available for organocopper hydroxides. Likewise organosilver hydroxides have not been structurally investigated.

B. Gold

Structures are known for two organogold hydroxides. In both, square-planar gold atoms are joined through double hydroxo bridges. ${[PhC=C(Ph)C(Ph)=C(Ph)]}$ - $Au(\mu_2\text{-}OH)\wr_2$, isolated from the reaction of $LiC(Ph)$ =C- $(Ph)C(Ph)$ =C $(Ph)Li$ and $AuCl₃,¹⁰⁹$ is planar with the exception of the phenyl groups.¹¹⁰ In contrast, the four square-planar gold atoms in $[Me₂Au(\mu₂-OH)]₄$ are tilted with respect to one another giving the molecule approximate S_4 symmetry.¹¹¹ The Au-O distances in both compounds are varied and range between 184 and 227 pm in $[Me₂Au(\mu₂-OH)]₄$ and 209 and 226 pm in ${[PhC=C(Ph)C(Ph)=C(Ph)]Au(\mu_2-OH)}_2$. However, both structures were determined using photographic data and final R values are above 10% , so detailed analysis of the metrical data is probably not valid.

XII. Zinc, Cadmium, and Mercury

An alkylzinc hydroxide has been obtained by the hydrolysis of $(Me_3Si)_3CZnCl$ with NaOH in wet thf:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{Chemical Revlews, 1994, Vol. 94, No. 4} \quad \text{909} \\
2(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CZnCl} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \\
(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CZn}(\mu_2\text{-OH})_2\text{ZnC}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3\n\end{aligned}
$$

In contrast to other organozinc hydroxides, (Me₃-**Si)sCZn(p~-OH)zZnC(SiMe3)3** is stable in moist air. The $C-Zn(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_2Zn-C$ fragment is planar (Zn-O = 189.9(9) pm, O-Zn-O = 77.5(3)°, and Zn-O-Zn = $102.3(3)$ °, 112

A tetrameric, distorted cubane, somewhat unstable cadmium hydroxide forms in the hydrolysis of $(C_6F_5)_2$ -Cd in moist toluene:

$$
4Cd(C_6F_5)_2 + 4H_2O \longrightarrow [(C_6F_5)Cd(\mu_3\text{-OH})]_4 + 4C_6F_5H
$$

Cd-0 distances range from 220 to 229 pm and the Cd-0-Cd angles are about 100' while the 0-Cd-0 angles are near 80°.¹¹³

No organomercury hydroxo complex has been structurally characterized.

XI I I. Ackno wiedgments

The support of the Materials Research Program at the University of Hawaii, the Deutche Forschungsgemeinschaft, and the VW-Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

XI V. References

-
-
- (1) Bottomley, F.; Sutin, L. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 28, 339.
(2) Bryndza, H. E.; Tam, W. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1163.
(3) Evans, W. J.; Hozbor, M. A.; Bott, S. G.; Robinson, G. H.; Atwood, **J. L.** *Znorg. Chem.* **1988,21, 1990.**
- **(4) Hitchcock, P. B.;Lappert,M. F.; Prashar, S.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991, 413, 79.**
- **(5) Herr",** *W.* **A.; Anwander, R.; Kleine, M.; bfele, K.; Riede, J.; Scherer, W.** *Chem. Ber.* **1992,125, 2391.**
- **(6) Schumann, H.; Loebel, J.; Pickardt, J.; Qian, C.; Xie, 2.** *Organo metallics* **1991, 10, 215.**
- **(7) Ariyaratne, K. A. N. S. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Hawaii, 1992.**
- (8) **Guggenberger, L. J.; Tebbe, F. N.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1976,98,4137. (9) Bochmann, M.; Jaggar, A. J.; Humthouse, M. B.; Mazid, M.**
- *Polyhedron* **1990,9, 2097.**
- **(10) Bochmann, M.; Jaggar, A. J.; Wileon, L. M.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Motevalli, M.** *Polyhedron* **1989,8,1838.**
-
- **(11) Thewalt, U.; Honold, B.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1988,348, 291. (12) Liu, F.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, Ha-G.; Noltemeyer, M.** *Organo metallics* **1992, 11, 2965.**
-
-
-
- (13) Döppert, K.; Thewalt, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 301, 41.
(14) Lasser, W.; Thewalt, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 275, 63.
(15) Klima, S.; Thewalt, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 354, 77.
(16) Lasser, W.; Thewalt, U.
- **308, 303.**
- **(18) Bortolin, R.; Patel, V.; Munday, J.; Taylor, N. J.; Carty, A. J.** *J. Chem.* **Soc.,** *Chem. Commun.* **1986,456.**
- **(19) Santarsiero, B. D.; Moore, E. J.** *Acta Crystallogr.* **1988, C44,433. (20) Babcock, L. M.; Day, V. W.; Klemperer, W.** *G. J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1988,519.**
- **(21) Babcock, L. M.; Day, V. W.; Klemperer, W.** *G. Znorg. Chem.* **1989,** *28,806.*
- **(22) Hidalgo,** *G.;* **Pellinghelli, M. A,; Royo, P.; Serrano, R.; Tiripicchio, A.** *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1990,1118,**
- **(23) Aslan, H.; Eggers,** S. **H.; Fischer,R.** *D.Znorg. Chim. Acta* **1989,159, 55.** (24) **Kirillova,** N. **I.; Gusev, A. I.; Pasynskii, A. A.; Struchkov, Y. T.**
- *Zhurn. Strk. Khim.* **1973, 14,1075. (25) Kalinnikov, V. T.; Pasynskii, A. A.;** Larin, *G.* **M.; Novotortaev, V.**
- **M.; Struchkov, Y. T.; Gusev, A. I.; Kirillova, N. I.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1974, 74, 91.**
-
- **(26) Curtis, M. D.; Real, J.** *Znorg. Chem.* **1988,27,3176. (27) Bottomley, F.; Keizer, P. N.; White, P. S.; Preston, K. F.** *Organometallics* **1990, 9, 1916.**
- **(28) Bottomley, F.; Karalioglu, S.** *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1991, 222;** *Organometallics* **1992, 11, 326.**
- **(29) Leichtweis, I.; Roesky, H. W.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.** *G. Chem. Ber.* **1991, 124, 253.**

910 Chemical Reviews, 1994, Vol. 94, No. 4

- **(30)** Jernakoff, P.; de Meric de Bellefon, C.; Geoffroy, G. L.; Rheingold, A. L.; Geib, S. J. *Organometallics* **1987,6, 1362.**
- **(31)** Jemakoff, P.; de Meric de Bellefon, C.; Geoffroy, G. L.; Rheingold, A. L.; Geib, S. J. *New J. Chem.* **1988,12, 329.**
- **(32)** Gibson, V. C.; Kee,T. P.; Clegg, W. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1990, 29.**
- **(33)** Kwon, D.; Curtis, M. D.; Rheingold, A. L.; Haggerty, B. S. *Inorg.*
- **(34)** Robinson, P. R.; Schlemper, E. 0.; Murmann, R. K. *Inorg. Chem. Chem.* **1992,31,3489. 1975,14, 2035.**
- **(35)** Prout, K.; Cameron, T. S.; Forder, R. A.; Critchley, *S.* R.; Denton, B.; Rees, **G.** V. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1974, B30, 2290.**
- **(36)** Benfield, F. W. S.; Green, M. L. H. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1974,1244.**
- **(37)** El Amin, **E.** A. E.; Jeffrey, J. C.; Walters, T. M. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1990, 170.**
- **(38)** Hieber, W.; Englert, K.; Rieger, K. *2. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1959, 300,295.**
- **(39)** Albano,V. G.;Ciani,G.; Manassero, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1970, 25, c55.**
- **(40)** Welch, A. J. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1977,24,97.**
- **(41)** Couldwell, C.; Prout, K. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1978, B34, 2439.**
- **(42)** Couldwell, C.; Meunier, B.; Prout, K. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1979, B35, 603.**
- **(43)** Rott, J.; Guggolz, E.; Rettenmayer, A.; Ziegler, M. L. *2. Naturforsch.* **1982,37B, 13.**
- **(44)** Prout, K.; Couldwell, M. C. Acta *Crystallogr.* **1977, B33,2146. (45)** Anslow, **S.** E.; Chong, K. S.; Rettig, *S.* J.; **Storr,** A.; Trotter, J. *Can.*
- (46) Rettig, S. J.; Storr, A.; Trotter, J. *Can. J. Chem.* **1988, 66,97.** *J. Chem.* **1981,59,3123.**
- **(47)** Breakell, K. R.; Rettig, S. J.; **Storr,** A.; Trotter, J. *Can. J. Chem.*
- **(48) Lush,S.-F.;Wang,S.-H.;Lee,G.-H.;Peng,S.-M.;Wang,S.-L.;Liu, 1979,57, 139.**
- **(49)** McNeese, T. J.; Mueller, T. E.; Wierda, D. A.; Darensbourg, D. J.; R.-S. *Organometallics* **1990,9, 1862.** Delord, T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985,24, 3465.**
- **(50)** Lin, W. T.; Yeh, S. K.; Lee, G. H.; Wang, Y. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989,361, 89.**
- **(51)** Bazhenova, T. A.; Ioffe, M. S.; Kachapina, L. M.; Lobkovskaya, R. M.: Shibaeva. R. P.; Shilov, A. E.; Shilov, A. K. *Zh. Strukt. Khimii* **1978,19,1047.**
- *Chem. Commun.* **1969,1242. (52)** Albano, V.; Bellon, P.; Ciani, G.; Manassero, M. *J. Chem. SOC.,*
- *Chem.* **1972,34, 353. (53)** Albano,V. G.; Ciani, G.; Manassero, M.; Sansoni, M. *J. Organomet.*
- **(54)** Faust, A. **S.;** Dahl, L. F. *J. Am. Chem.* SOC. **1970,92,7337. (55)** Wormsbicher, D.;Nicholas,K. M.;Rheingold, A. L. *J. Chem. SOC.,*
- *Chem. Commun.* **1985,721.** J. L.; Rogers, R. D. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1983, 105, 3365.** (56) Carmona, E.; González, F.; Poveda, M. L.; Marin, J. M.; Atwood,
- **1991,1607. (57)** Clerk, M. D.; Zaworotko, M. J. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.*
- **(58)** CODD, **S.** B.; Subramanian, S.; Zaworotko, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* ' **1992,114,8719.**
- **(59)** Horn, E.; Snow, M. R.; Zeleny, P. C. *Aut. J. Chem.* **1980,33,1659.**
- **(60)** Purcell, W.; Roodt, A.; Basson, S. S.; Leipoldt, J. G. *Transition Met. Chem.* **1989, 14, 5.**
- **(61)** Lee, K.-W.; Pennington, W. T.; Cordes, A. W.; Brown, T. L. *Organometallics* **1984, 3, 404.**
- **(62)** .. Berinzhelli. T.; DAlfonso, G.; Freni, M.; Ciani, G.; Molinari, H. *J. Organlomet: Chem.* **1986,311, 177.**
- **(63)** Herberhold, M.; **Sbs,** G.; Ellermann, J.; Gibelein, H. *Chem.* **Ber. 1978,111, 2931.**
- **(64)** Nuber, B.; Oberdorfer, F.; Ziegler, M. L. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1981, B37, 2062.**
- **(65)** Beck, W.; Sacher, W.; Nagel, V. *Angew. Chem., Int.Ed. Engl.* **1986, 25,270.**
- **(66)** Treichel, P. M.; Dean, W. K.; Calabrese, J. C. Inorg. *Chem.* **1973, 12, 2908.**
- **(67)** Arif, A. M.; Cowley, A. H.; Pakulski, M.; Pearsall, M. A. *d. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1988,2713.**
- (68) Gould, R. O.; Jones, C. L.; Stephenson, T. A.; Tocher, D. A. J.
Organomet. Chem. 1984, 264, 365.
(69) (a) Gould, R. O.; Jones, C. L.; Robertson, D. R.; Stephenson, T. A.
J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 222. (b) Gou
- C. L.; Robertson, D. R.; Tocher, D. A,; Stephenson, T. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1982,226,199.**
- **(70)** Kim, T. **D.;** McNeese, T. J.; Rheingold, A. L. Inorg. *Chem.* **1988, 27, 2554.**
- H. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1985, 96, L21. (72)** Cabeza. J. A.; Smith, A. J.; Adams, H.; Maitlis, P. M.J. *Chem. SOC.,* **(71) Oro,** L. A.; Garcia, M. P.; Carmona, D.; Foces-Foces, C.; Cano, F.
- *Dalton Trans.* **1986, 1155.**
- **(73)** Frediani, P.; Bianchi, M.; Piacenti, F.; Ianelli, S.;Nardelli, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987,26,1592.**
- E. D.: Gubin. *S.* P. *Koord. Khim.* **1984.10.919. (74)** Podbarezskaya, N. V.; Maksakov, V. A.; Kedrova, L. K.; Korniets,
- **(75)** Deeming, A. **J.;** Manning, P. J.; Rothwefi, I.P.; Humthouse, M. B.; Walker, N. P. C. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1984,2039.**
- **(76)** Hodge, **S.** R.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Raithby, P. R. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1987, 931.**
- **(77)** Deeming, A. J.; Owen, D. W.; Powell, N. I. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990,3&?, 299.**
- **(78)** Aime. **S.:** Deemine. A. J.: Hursthouse. M. B.: Backer-Dirks. J. D. J. *J.* Chem. *Soc., Balton Trans.* **1982, 1625. (79)** Jones, **D.** F.; Dixneuf, P. H.; Benoit, A.; LeMarouille, J.-Y. *Inorg.*
- *Chem.* **1983,22, 29.**
- *(80)* Adams, R. D.; Babin, J. E.; Kim, H. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986,25,1122. (81)** Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Raithby, P. R.; **Zuccaro,** C. *J. Chem.*
- *SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1980,716. (82)** Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Nelson, W. J. H.; Puga, J.; Henrick,
- K.; McPartlin, M. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1983, 1203.**
- **(83)** Carty, A. J.; MacLaughlin, S. A.; Taylor, N. J. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1981,476.**
- *(84) Ah,* R. D.; Babin, J. E.; Tasi, M. *Znorg. Chem.* **1987,26,2561.**
- **(851** Merlino, **S.;** Montamoli, G.; Braca, G.; Sbrana, G. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1978,27, 233.-**
- **(86)** Dalenburg, L.; Merzaei, F. *Cryst.* Struct. *Commun.* **1982,11,1577. (87)** Sakurai, F.; Suzuki, H.; Moro-oka, **Y.;** Ikawa, T. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1980,102, 1749.**
- **(88)** Tanaka, **I.;** Jin-no, N.; Kushida, T.; Tsutsui, N.; Ashida,T.; **Suzuki,** H.; Sakurai, H.; Moro-oka, Y.; Ikawa, T. **Bull.** *Chem. SOC. Jpn.* **1983,56, 657.**
- **(89)** Lahoz, F. J.; Carmona, D.; **Oro,** L. A.; Lamata, M. P.; Puebla, M. P.; Foces-Foces, C.; Cano, F. H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986,316, 221.**
- **(90) Oro,** L. A,; Carmona, D.; Lamata, M. P.; Apreda, M. C.; Foces-Foces,C.; **Cano,** F. H.; Maitlis, P. M. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1984, 1823.**
- **(91)** Nutton, A,; Bailey, P. M.; Maitlis, P. M. *J. Chem.* SOC., *Dalton Trans.* **1981, 1997.**
- **(92)** Cotton, F. A.; Lahuerta, P.; Sanau, M.; Schwotzer, W. *Inorg. Chim.*
- *Acta* **1986,120, 153. (93)** McGhee, W. D.; Foo, T.; Hollander, F. J.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1988,110,8543.**
- **(94)** Sutherland, B. R.; Cowie, M. *Organometallics* **1985,4, 1637.**
- **(95)** Tucker, C. A.; Woods, C.; Burn, J. L. E. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1987, 126, 141.**
- (96) Klein, H. F.; Karsch, H. H. *Chem.* **Ber. 1973,106, 1433.**
- **(97)** Carmona, **E.;** Marln, J. M.; Palma, P.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989,28,1895.**
- **(98)** Carmona, E.; Marln, J. M.; Palma, P.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L. *Organometallics* **1985,4,2053;** Carmona, E.; Marln, J. M.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L. *Organometallics* **1987,6, 1757.**
- (99) López, G.; Garciá, G.; Sánchez, G.; García, J.; Ruiz, J.; Hermoso, J. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992,32, 1518.**
- **(100)** Upez, G.; Ruiz, J.; Garcia, G.; Vicente, C.; Casab6, J.; Molins, E.;
- Miravitlles, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, 30, 2605.

(101) López, G.; Ruiz, J.; Garcia, G.; Vicente, C.; Marti, J. M.; Hermoso, J. A.; Vegas, A,; Martinez-Ripoll, M. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1992, 53.**
- (102) López, G.; Ruiz, J.; Garcia, G.; Vicente, C.; Rodriguez, V.; Sánchez, G.; Hermoso, J. A,; Martinez-Ripoll, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1992,1681.**
- **(103)** Braunstein, P.; Fischer, J.; Matt, D.; Pfeffer, M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1984,106, 410.**
- **(104) Rundle, R. E.; Sturdivant, J. H.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947, 69, 1561. (105)** Cowan, D. O.; Krieghoff, N. G.; Donnay, G. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1968**,
- **B24, 287. (106)** Spiro, T. G.; Templeton, D. H.; Zalkin, A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1968,10,**
- 2165.
(107) Preston, H. S.; Mills, J. C.; Kennard, C. H. L. *J. Organometal.* **(107)** Pies&, H. *S.;* Mills, J. C.; Kennard, C. H. L. *J. Organometal. Chem.* **1968,14,447.**
- (108) Castro, I.; Faus, J.; Julve, M.; Bois, C.; Real, J. A.; Lloret, F. J.
Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 47, and references therein.
(109) Braye, E. H.; Hübel, W.; Caplier, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83,
- **4406.**
- **(110)** Peteau-Boisdenghien, M.; Meunier-Piret, J.; van Meerssche, M. *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **1975,4, 375.**
- **(111)** Glass, G. E.; Konnert, J. H.; Miles, M. G.; Britton, D.; Tobias, R.
- S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 1131.
(112) Al-Juaid, S. S.; Buttrus, N. H.; Eaborn, C.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Roberts,
A. T. L.; Smith, J. D.; Sullivan, A. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1986, 908.**
- **(113) Weidenbruch,M.;Hermdorf,M.;** Sch&fer,A.;Pohl,S. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989,361, 139.**